US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

12160/ SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

REVIEW NO.

## EEB BRANCH REVIEW

	DATE: IN	5/19/81		OUT	5/29/81		
FILE OR REG. NO					·		منب مندور اسراب المجروب والماد
PETITION OR EXP. PERMIT	NO	524-EU	P-LA	<del>n gangangan d</del> an kang baga palagan		The second s	
DATE OF SUBMISSION							
DATE RECEIVED BY HED		5/13/8	i <b>L</b>			···	
RD REQUESTED COMPLETION	DATE	5/26/8	6 <b>L</b>				<del></del>
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETIO	N DATE	And a second second second second			elidendo que está a la circa do cuer por proporcio de cuer proporcio de cu		
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF	REVIEW	450/	Protocol				
		<del>and the spirit of the factorial to the spirit of the spir</del>	<del> </del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, F, N, R, S, Herbicide							
DATA ACCESSION NO(S)	— <del></del>	R. Taylo	r (25)				
PRODUCT NAME(S)	and the state of the	Mon-097	na kana kana kana kana kana kana kana k				
·	an eta		<del>i. '-,</del>		ing district, when the state of		
COMPANY NAMEMonsanto Company							
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Clarificatio	on of avia	n and aqua	tic studi	es		<del></del>
	requested by	EEB					
Minutespape agricultura			·	······································			
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.		СН	EMICAL, &	FORMULATIO	ИС	3	A. I.
	and the state of the						
Parking a grant and a strip to the form of the strip to t		<del></del>					
			· .				
······································	·						

## Mon-097 (Acetochlor)

EEB acknowledges receipt of two letters from Monsanto Company. dated April 3, 1981, and April 9, 1981. Both letters are requesting an opinion regarding needs for Tier II level testing. We will reiterate our conclusions to the EUP review, and modify these conclusions in regards to these letters.

EEB cannot give an opinion on either of these letters until all six of the basic studies are submitted and validated as acceptable for the registration of this product. Further, we will need to re-request from the Environmental Fate Branch (EFB) a fate profile of this product. If EFB can supply us with the information that we request, and if this data is acceptable to them for registration, then we can begin our hazard assessment. At that time, we will be in a position to determine if and what types of Tier II level testing will be required. We will be able to address the endangered species question. We would expect that the registrant would supply us with an approved label with the above requested data.

Russel T. Farringer, !!!

Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch/HED

Raymond Matheny []

Head, Review Section Ith Ru

Ecological Effects Branch/HED

Clayton Bushong

Branch Chief

Ecological Effects Branch/HED